Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/059,417	STENZEL ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Stephen A Vu	3636
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Stephen A Vu.	(3)	
(2) <u>Robert Pous (#29,099)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 05 September 2003.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-4</u> .		·
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.		•
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was discussed that the examiner would conduct another prior art search regarding the claimed subject matter.</u> A Non-final office action would be sent to the applicant based the examination of the claims with the newly found prior art.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
<i>,</i>		
	$\bigcap$	,
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Sterhe	Vh
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)